



# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/781,192	02/18/2004	Floyd Backes	160-017	1760
34845	7590 04/06/2006		EXAMINER	
STEUBING MCGUINNESS & MANARAS LLP			BEAMER, TEMICA M	
ACTON, MA			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,	•		2617	

DATE MAILED: 04/06/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	<del></del>	T						
Office Action Summan.		Application No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)				
		10/781,192	BACKES ET	AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
		Temica M. Beame	1					
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover	sheet with the correspondence	e address				
WHI( - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANSIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COI 36(a). In no event, howev vill apply and will expire S , cause the application to	MMUNICATION.  rer, may a reply be timely filed  IX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of the become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133)	his communication.				
Status								
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26 Ja	anuary 2006						
•	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1					
3)								
٧,١	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	ion of Claims	n parto quajro, i	0.0.0.11, 100 0.0.210.					
· ·	· _							
	Claim(s) <u>1-3</u> is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
_	Claim(s) 1 is/are rejected.							
-	Claim(s) <u>2 and 3</u> is/are objected to.							
8)[_]	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirem	nent.					
Applicati	ion Papers							
9)[	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.								
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held i	n abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a	a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign  All b) Some * c) None of:							
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents							
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior			nai Stage				
* 0	application from the International Bureau	•	••					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
	•							
Attachmen	t(s)							
_	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 lr	nterview Summary (PTO-413)					
2) 🔲 Notic	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	_ P	aper No(s)/Mail Date					
	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date		lotice of Informal Patent Application ( other:	(PTO-152)				
		٠, 🗆 ٥	·-·-··					

Application/Control Number: 10/781,192 Page 2

Art Unit: 2617

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

# Reassignment Affecting Application Location

1. The Art Unit location of your application in the USPTO has changed. To aid in correlating any papers for this application, all further correspondence regarding this application should be directed to Art Unit 2617.

## Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments filed 1/26/2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant argues that the Dent fails to disclose the newly added limitation of mitigating unnecessary channel overlap between access points. The examiner, however, disagrees. Dent teaches the use of frequency reuse in a given area for the mobile stations and base stations to communicate with (col. 13, line44-col. 14, line 2). It is inherent in frequency reuse systems that the frequencies are chosen to specifically to reduce system interference which reads on mitigating unnecessary channel overlap between access points.

Therefore, based on the above remarks, the rejections/objections to the claims stand.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the

applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

4. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Dent et al (Dent), U.S. Patent No. 6,542,716.

Regarding claim 1, Dent discloses an apparatus for use by an access point in a wireless networking communications environment comprising logic for scanning a plurality of radio frequency channels during a scan interval, logic for receiving messages from other access points on the plurality of radio frequency channels during the scan interval (col. 4, lines 12-23), logic for maintaining a channel map having an entry for each of the plurality of radio frequency channels, and if one or more messages was received on a channel, the corresponding entry further including an AP-ID for at least one of the access points that sent a message on the channel (col. 9, line 62-col. 10, line 2, col. 13, line 31-col. 4, line 2); logic for selecting a channel from the channel map; logic for transmitting messages on the selected channel during a claim interval, logic for receiving messages from other access points on the selected channel during the claim interval, logic for ascertaining whether the apparatus should commence communications with other wireless devices on the selected channel based at least inpart upon characteristics of the messages received on the channel and such that unnecessary channel overlap with the other access points is mitigated (col. 13, line 43col. 14, line 2).

## Allowable Subject Matter

- 5. Claims 2 and 3 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims, and once proper dependency is determined.
- 6. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: Prior art fails to suggest or render obvious logic which selects a channel having either no AP-ID or an AP-D that has the lowest stored power level.

Prior art further fails to suggest or render obvious logic for evaluating the power level of messages received on a selected channel, and if the power level of messages received on the selected channel is below a threshold, the logic for ascertaining indicates that the apparatus should commence communications with other wireless devices on the selected channel.

#### Conclusion

7. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the

Application/Control Number: 10/781,192 Page 5

Art Unit: 2617

shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Temica M. Beamer whose telephone number is (571) 272-7797. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday (alternate Fridays) 7:00am-4:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Marsha Banks-Harold can be reached on (571) 272-7905. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

tmb

TEMICA BEAMER
PRIMARY EXAMINER